

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2010-021995

06/30/2011

HONORABLE JOHN A. BUTTRICK

CLERK OF THE COURT
J. Polanco
Deputy

DREXLER ENTERPRISES INC

MICHAEL J HOLDEN

v.

CAROLE PAVELIN, et al.

CAROLE PAVELIN
1946 E KENTUCKY LN
TEMPE AZ 85284

WELLS FARGO BANK N A
P O BOX 29779
PHOENIX AZ 85038-9779
TROY R HENDRICKSON
WILLIAM A MILLER
BRADEN PAVELIN
1946 EAST KENTUCKY LANE
TEMPE AZ 85284
COMM. BARTH

MINUTE ENTRY

This division has received Plaintiff's e-filed Application/Motion for Default Judgment against **Defendant(s) Braden Pavelin** in the above-captioned case.

IT IS ORDERED that no action will be taken by this division on the above referenced document(s).

The parties are advised that Commissioners handle Rule 55(b) Default Judgment proceedings and that the default proceedings in this matter are to be heard by **Commissioner Michael Barth**.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2010-021995

06/30/2011

IT IS ORDERED that all documents necessary to support the entry of a default judgment must be e-filed.

Pursuant to Supreme Court Administrative Order No. 2010-117, default judgment packets are not permitted to be e-filed. Accordingly, parties are directed to hand-deliver a default coversheet and copies of the necessary filed documents to the Commissioner's division for the entry of a default judgment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, no further action will be taken by the assigned Commissioner until the necessary filed documents and coversheet are delivered to the division.

The parties/counsel can find the default judgment coversheet on the Court's website at:
<http://www.superiorcourt.maricopa.gov/SuperiorCourt/CivilDepartment/forms.asp>

The parties/counsel can find additional information in the form of frequently asked questions at:
<http://www.superiorcourt.maricopa.gov/SuperiorCourt/CivilDepartment/howDol.asp>

ALERT: eFiling through AZTurboCourt.gov is mandatory in civil cases for attorney-filed documents effective May 1, 2011. See Arizona Supreme Court Administrative Orders 2010-117 and 2011-010. The Court may impose sanctions against counsel to ensure compliance with this requirement after May 1, 2011.